Network Device Interpretation # 202011

Clarification about FIA_AFL.1

Status:	🔀 Active	🗌 Inactive	,
Date: 14-Sep-2020			
End of proposed Transition Period (to be updated after TR2TD process): 14-Sep-2020			
Type of Change:	Immediate application	Minor change	Major change
Type of Document:	X Technical Decision	🗌 Technic	al Recommendation
Approved by:	Network iTC Interprete	ations Team 🗌 Networ	k iTC
Affected Document(s): ND cPP v2.1; ND cPP v2.2e			
Affected Section(s): FIA_AFL.1			
Superseded Interpretation(s): None			

Issue:

The customer supports public-key based authentication. Password based authentication is not being claimed for SSH as part of FCS_SSHC_EXT.1.2/FCS_SSHS_EXT.1.2.

The requirements of the SFRs are as follows:

FCS_SSHC_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall ensure that the SSH protocol implementation supports the following authentication methods as described in RFC 4252: public key-based, [selection: password-based, no other method].

FCS_SSHS_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall ensure that the SSH protocol implementation supports the following authentication methods as described in RFC 4252: public key-based, [selection:password-based, no other method].

The TD0409: NIT decision for Applicability of FIA_AFL.1 to key-based SSH authentication states that FIA_AFL.1 is only mandatory for password-based authentication.

Application notes: If the TOE supports password-based authentication, the option 'password-based' shall be selected. If the TOE supports only public key-based authentication, the option 'no other method' shall be chosen.

Question:

In the above scenario, where only public key based authentication is supported, is there a requirement to include FIA_AFL.1 in the scope of the evaluation?

Please clarify on what updates are required in the ST to address the non-applicability of FIA_AFL.1 when only public-key based authentication is supported. At present it is unclear on what the corrective action should be for the ST. Can the SFR be omitted from the ST where only public key authentication is supported in FCS_SSHS_EXT.1.2/FCS_SSHC_EXT.1.2?

Resolution:

- 1. FIA_AFL.1 is a mandatory SFRs that the TOE will need to meet.
- 2. FIA_AFL.1 requires at least one remote administrative interface support password authentication.
- 3. If SSH is the TOE's only remote administrative interface, it needs to support password authentication. If there is another administrative interface (e.g. a web GUI) that supports password authentication, SSH does not need to support password authentication and, by extension, FIA_AFL.1

Rationale:

Exact conformance mandates that all mandatory SFRs are implemented by a TOE.

Further Action:

In future versions of the cPP, models of authentication need to be reviewed and revamped to consider different use cases and enterprise deployment scenarios.

Action by Network iTC:

None