Network Device Interpretation # 202027

Clarification about use of DH14 in NDcPPv2.2e

Status:		☐ Inact	ive
Date: 23-Feb-2021			
End of proposed Trar	nsition Period (to be updated af	ter TR2TD process):	23-Mar-2021
Type of Change:	☐ Immediate application	Minor change	e Major change
Type of Document:	☐ Technical Decision	☐ Tech	nical Recommendation
Approved by:	Network iTC Interpretat	ions Team 🛚 🖂 Netw	vork iTC
Affected Document(s	:): NDcPPv2.2e, NDSDv2.2		
Affected Section(s): F	FCS_CKM.1.1, FCS_CKM.2.1		
Superseded Interpret	tation(s): None		
Issue:			
FFC Schemes using "so Revision 3, " might 56Ar3 that are related of DH group 14 for TL methods and groups o	rding "The TSF shall perform cry, afe-prime" groups that meet the be misunderstood as 'the TOE's d to the chosen safe-prime grou, S. Those words shall be interpreneed to satisfy SP800-56Ar3, but in SP800-56Ar3', though. This s	e following: 'NIST Spe key establishment m os and FFC schemes' ted as 'implementati t the use of those me	ecial Publication 800-56A neets all aspects of SP800- which would prohibit the use ons of the key agreement othods and groups is not
Resolution:			
To address the issue o	described above, the last option	in FCS_CKM.2.1 shall	be modified as follows:
<old></old>			
Revision 3, "Recomme	afe-prime" groups that meet the endation for Pair-Wise Key Estab election: RFC 3526, RFC 7919]		
shall be replaced by			
<new></new>			

FFC Schemes using "safe-prime" groups that meet the following: 'NIST Special Publication 800-56A Revision 3, "Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography" and [selection: groups listed in RFC 3526, groups listed in RFC 7919]

</new>

The following paragraph shall be added to Application Note 10 for FCS_CKM.2.1:

<new>

The option "FFC Schemes using "safe-prime" groups that meet the following: 'NIST Special Publication 800-56A Revision 3, "Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography" and [selection: groups listed in RFC 3526, groups listed in RFC 7919]." shall be read as 'the TOE performs Key Agreement as specified in SP800-56Ar3', but not necessarily adhering to the protocol restrictions for these groups, as indicated in Appendix D, tables 25 and 26. Instead, the use of those methods for particular protocols is in accordance with the SFR for the specific protocols. E.g. the use of DH group 14 for (D)TLS is specified in FCS_(D)TLSS_EXT.1.4.

</new>

The following parts defined in NDSDv2.2 for FCS CKM.2 shall be removed:

From the TSS section:

<remove>1

If Diffie-Hellman group 14 is selected from FCS_CKM.2.1, the TSS shall claim the TOE meets RFC 3526 Section 3.

</remove>

<remove>

row 'Diffie-Hellman (Group 14)' from the table

</remove>

From the Test section:

<remove>

Diffie-Hellman Group 14

The evaluator shall verify the correctness of the TSF's implementation of Diffie-Hellman group 14 by using a known good implementation for each protocol selected in FTP_TRP.1/Admin, FTP TRP.1/Join, FTP ITC.1 and FPT ITT.1 that uses Diffie-Hellman group 14.

</remove>

For FCS CKM.1 the last two paragraphs from Application Note 9 shall be removed as follows:

<remove>2

If the TOE acts as a receiver in the key establishment schemes and is not configured to support mutual authentication, the TOE does not need to implement key generation.

In a distributed TOE, if the TOE component acts as a receiver in the key establishment scheme, the TOE does not need to implement key generation.

</remove>

The test section defined in NDSDv2.2 for FCS_CKM.1 shall be modified as follows:

<old>

Diffie-Hellman Group 14 and FFC Schemes using "safe-prime" groups

Testing for FFC Schemes using Diffie-Hellman group 14 and/or safe-prime groups is done as part of testing in CKM.2.1.

</old>

shall be replaced by

<new>

FFC Schemes using "safe-prime" groups

Testing for FFC Schemes using safe-prime groups is done as part of testing in CKM.2.1.

</new>

Rationale:

See Issue section.

Footnotes:

F		A	
ruri	.ner	Acti	ion:

None

Action by Network iTC:

None

¹ There has been an additional RfI (#202103) that suggested a replacement of the current wording. As this sentence is removed now, also RfI#202103 is regarded as resolved.

² This part has been removed to enhance clarity upon scheme request. As this should have been done earlier it has been decided to remove this part as an exception to the rule as it is not directly related to the Rfl.