NIAP End of Evaluation Survey

To assist us in our on-going efforts to improve the quality, efficiency and effectiveness of NIAP evaluations, please take a few minutes to complete and submit this survey.

Product Name & VID ______ PP _____

1. Please rate your experience with the NIAP program using a scale of 1 to 5 or N/A (1 = unsatisfactory and 5 = outstanding)

Satisfaction with CC Lab evaluation process	
Satisfaction with usefulness & applicability of Protection Profile	
Satisfaction with technical expertise of CC Lab personnel	
Satisfaction with timeliness of the CC Lab evaluation	
Satisfaction with Government validation process	
Satisfaction with technical expertise of Government validation personnel	
Satisfaction with Government validation timeliness	
Please share any specific good or bad experiences with this evaluation:	

2. Please rank in order of importance the areas your Common Criteria Certificate provides value (1 = most important and 4 = least important):

International Sales

U.S. Government/DoD NSS Sales

Commercial Sales

Other: _____

NIAP Form F8004, Version 3 (January 2020)

3.	Did the	evaluation	detect any	vulnerabilit	ies in	your product?

- □ YES
- □ NO

If yes, were they corrected prior to the conclusion of the evaluation?

- □ YES
- □ NO
- 4. Please rate your knowledge of the following areas using a scale of 1 to 5 (1 = no knowledge and 5 = very knowledgeable):

CC Lab evaluation process	
CC assurance continuity process (Also known as assurance maintenance or certificate maintenance)	
CC Protection Profiles (PP)s	
NIAP validation process	
NIAP policy letters	
Department of Defense Policies (e.g., DODD 8500.1, DODI 8500.2)	
National Acquisition Policy CNSSP No. 11	
We would like your opinion on the relative importance of each of the foll	owing

5. We would like your opinion on the relative importance of each of the following proposed improvements to the CC process. Please rate the following five items using a scale of 1 to 5 (1 = extremely important and 5 = not important):

Including evaluated product on Common Criteria Portal Product listing in addition to NIAP Product Compliant List

Alignment of U.S. Government Product Validation requirement processes among agencies

Document evaluation results in terms of security strengths and weaknesses of the product

Include the use of standardized tools throughout the course of the evaluation to increase consistency and efficiency

Provide a well-defined procedure for vulnerability analysis that is properly scoped & bounded, and can be consistently applied

NIAP Form F8004, Version 3 (January 2020)

Improve and expand scope of CC evaluations to include Software & cloud-based systems

Update configuration guidance produced during CC evaluations to make it fully automated and consistent for use in the operational environment

6. ISO is currently updating the Common Criteria standards. We encourage your participation in that effort. Alternatively, please share with us any other change(s) you would like to see in the next version of the CC:

7. Thank you for participating in this survey. Please add any comments you feel would improve the process, provide greater value to the end consumer of your product, or increase your return on investment. Your input will be used to improve NIAP processes:

Name (Optional):		
Organization (Optional):		
Contact Info (Optional):		
Phone number:		
Email Address:		
May we contact you if we have questions?	Yes	No

Please submit completed form to: niap@niap-ccevs.org

NIAP Form F8004, Version 3 (January 2020)